



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/720,805	11/24/2003	Lawrence J. Zach		5431		
31083 75	590 11/05/2004	EXAMINER				
THOMTE, MAZOUR & NIEBERGALL, L.L.C. 2120 S. 72ND STREET, SUITE 1111			MAMMEN, NA	MAMMEN, NATHAN SCOTT		
OMAHA, NE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			3671			
			DATE MAILED: 11/05/200-	4		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/720,80	95	ZACH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Examiner Art Unit					
		Nathan S	Mammen	3671	IMW			
The MAILING Period for Reply	DATE of this communication	appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence a	ddress			
THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be after SIX (6) MONTHS fror - If the period for reply specion in the second for reply second for reply second for reply second for reply within the second for reply within the second for reply received by the second for reply	ATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE E OF THIS COMMUNICATIO available under the provisions of 37 CF in the mailing date of this communication filed above is less than thirty (30) days, a ecified above, the maximum statutory pe set or extended period for reply will, by st Office later than three months after the ment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no even reply within the state riod will apply and within the apply	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered time the mailing date of this of ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) Responsive to	communication(s) filed on _							
·								
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4a) Of the above 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6) ☒ Claim(s) <u>1-7,1</u> 7) ☒ Claim(s) <u>8,9,1</u>	4) Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-7,10-16,19-25,28 and 29 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8,9,17,18,26 and 27 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification	on is objected to by the Exan	niner.						
10) The drawing(s)	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may n	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
·	awing sheet(s) including the col claration is objected to by the	·-		•	, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119							
a) All b) So 1. Certified 2. Certified 3. Copies of applications.	int is made of a claim for fore ome * c) None of: copies of the priority docum copies of the priority docum of the certified copies of the p on from the International Build d detailed Office action for a	nents have bee nents have bee priority docume reau (PCT Rule	n received. n received in Applicat ints have been receive e 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Ci	ted (PTO_892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Dotice of Draftsperson's	Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	-			
3) Information Disclosure S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1	statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB <u>/16/04</u> .	3/08)	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT)	D-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/720,805 Page 2

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 19 is objected to because of the following informalities: Line 4 of claim 19 states "a power means on said support". Since no "support" has been set forth, the claim should be changed to state "a power means on said support *member*". Appropriate correction is required.
- 2. Claim 26 is objected to because of the following informalities: Claim 26 recites "said gearbox", but no "gearbox" had previously been set forth. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 10, 11, 13, 16, 19-20, 22, 25, 28, 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene, cited by applicant.

The Greene '794 patent discloses a powered soil tillage device comprising an elongate support member (17) having upper (i.e., top) and lower (i.e., bottom) ends, a handle (14) on the support member, a power means (13) on the support member, a drive mechanism (41) on the support member and operatively connected to the power means, first and second elongated beams (22) mounted on the support member, and first and second tillage members (23) secured to the elongated beam. The elongated beams are laterally spaced from each other and are reciprocatably moved in an offset manner with respect to each other (see Figs. 1-2). The

Application/Control Number: 10/720,805

Art Unit: 3671

powered means includes a power shaft (51). A gearbox (41 – drive mechanism) includes a driven, transversely extending first shaft (60). First and second offset crank arms (32, 33) are connected to the gearbox/drive mechanism in the middle of the first and second beams. A support (40) is between the gearbox and the upper end of the elongated support member. The support has first and second elongated rocker arms (30) that are connected to first ends of the first and second elongated beams. The crank arms are offset with respect to one another at approximately 180 degrees (see Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 11, 13, 16, 20, 22, 25, 28, 29: The tillage members (23) comprise hoe blades. The first and second hoe blades are positioned in a fore and aft relationship with respect to one another. (see Figs. 1-2). The power means (13) is an internal combustion motor. Rocker arms (30) reciprocatably secure the first and second beams to the support member. Offset crank arms (32, 33) connect to the drive mechanism to the middle of the first and second beams.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1, 2, 4, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,057,412 to Hovis, Jr., cited by Applicant.

The Greene '794 patent discloses the claimed invention, as stated above, except for the powered soil tillage device having a power shaft extending downwardly through the hollow

Art Unit: 3671

member to drive the gearbox. Instead, the Greene '794 patent utilizes a chain drive to transmit power from the power means through the hollow member (17). However, the Hovis '412 patent teaches that it is known in the art to utilize power shafts (86) as the power transmission means from a power source 932) to a hoe (156). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the powered soil tillage device of the Greene '794 patent with a shaft-type power transmission means as taught by the Hovis '412 patent, in order to provide a direct-drive power transmission system that eliminates the possibilities drive failure due to chain failure.

- 7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,057,412 to Hovis, Jr., cited by Applicant, and further in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,122,902 to Alexander. See explanation in paragraph 8.
- 8. Claims 12, 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,122,902 to Alexander.

The Greene '794 patent, singularly and in view of the Hovis '412 patent, discloses the claimed invention, as stated above, except for the hoe blades being positioned inwardly. However, the Alexander '902 patent teaches that it is known in the art to provide different types of hoe blades for a powered tillage device, including hoe blades at an angle (47). Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the powered tillage device of the Greene '794 patent with hoe blades at an angle as taught by the Alexander '902 patent, resulting in the blades positioned inwardly.

9. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene in view of U.S. Patent No. 3,057,412 to Hovis, Jr., cited by

Applicant, and further in view U.S. Patent No. 6,382,325 to Bovi. See explanation in paragraph 10.

10. Claims 14-15, 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,811,794 to Greene in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,382,325 to Bovi.

The Greene '794 patent discloses the powered tillage device, as stated above, except for the power means being a battery-driven electric motor. The Bovi '325 patent teaches that it is known in the art to provide a powered tillage device with a battery-driven electric motor as the power means. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the powered tillage device of the Greene '794 patent with a battery-driven electric motor power means as taught by the Bovi '325 patent in order to provide the Greene '794 patent with the benefits of electric power, such as zero emissions, quieter operation, and a rechargeable power supply.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claims 8, 9, 17-18, 26-27 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan Mammen whose telephone number is (703) 306-5959. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/720,805 Page 6

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached at (703) 308-3870. The fax number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-1113.

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

NSM 10/29/04

Nathan S. Mammen